

Using Multiple Texts to Teach Critical Reading Skills to Linguistically Diverse Students

Kaemanje Thomas^{a*}, Minkyung Choi^b

 ^aKaemanje Thomas, Bronx Community College, City University of New York, Colston Hall, Room 430, University Avenue, Bronx, New York 10453.
 ^bMinkyung Choi, Bronx Community College, City University of New York, Colston Hall, Room 434, University Avenue, Bronx, New York 10453.
 ^aEmail: kaemanje.thomas@bcc.cuny.edu

Abstract

Mastery of developmental reading courses offers both an opportunity for academic enrichment and a barrier to college completion. We examine what it means to use multiple texts in college developmental reading courses, the benefits of using them, and considerations that instructors may employ in their instructions. A review of the literature indicates Linguistically Diverse students often lack the required critical thinking skills needed to tackle the rigor and demand of their college level courses. We conducted a study to tests whether using multiple texts improved LDs critical reading skills. Participants of 30 undergraduate students taking RDL 500 course were analyzed using pre and posttest results. Findings indicate that integrated use of multiple texts is a practical teaching approach for LDs improved their critical reading skills and their navigation of unfamiliar texts. This implies the use of the one size-fits-all approach may not be an effective pedagogical practice by instructors who teach the LD student.

Keywords: critical literacy; community college; developmental reading; language minority students; multiple texts; linguistically diverse students; sociocultural literacy; cultural capital.

^{*} Corresponding author.

I. Introduction

According to the authors in [1], enrollment numbers for immigrant students in public elementary and secondary schools increased by 7 percent between 1997 and 2011. It is projected that this population of students are expected to increase by another 7 percent by 2022. Placed in numeral context, the U.S. Department of Education [2] found that more than four million of this population are in the process of developing English and are classified as English learners. The authors in [3] contended that English learners (ELs) represent more than 10 percent of the United States student population. Moreover, the National Center for English Language Acquisition [4] found that, more than one out of every four children in the United States are from immigrant families, and in most cases, they speak a language other than English at home. Furthermore, research found that one in five students in U.S. public schools speak a language other than English at home. The authors in [5] contend that English language learners or Linguistically Diverse Students (LDs) are one subgroup of students that require special attention, particularly because of their growing numbers and low-performance relative to their non-LDs peers.

The authors in [6] believed Black and Latin/o LDs begin kindergarten with the greatest disadvantages in math and reading, due to the link between their minority status and social class. While the stereotypical labels are not a representation of all LD students, these students grow up to be adults who carry these disadvantages into their college experience. The authors in [7] affirmed in their findings that second language speakers might experience gaps in comparison to their monolingual peers. Correspondingly, second language speakers may have the ability to navigate between different languages at home or work, but many sometimes struggle with English proficiency in academic settings. For the LD student, navigating the academic setting, text-structure and developing their comprehension skills can lead to poor academic performance, if not addressed. These factors are a cause for concern, as these students' academic performance in content area subjects, may have a severe impact on their graduation from high school and college entrance admittance. According to the US Department of Education in [2], the nationwide dropout rate for foreign-born students in 2007 was 21%, compared to 8% for native-born students.

Although the authors in [8] found that students' graduation from high school had a higher correlation with race and ethnicity than the status of the LD student. Based on the data obtained, it is difficult to isolate race and ethnicity from other factors which affects this group. Research obtained from the U.S Department of Education, LDs are more likely to live in lower-income households compared to their monolingual English-speaking peers. The research indicated that, 66% of LDs had a family income of 200% lower than the federal poverty level, in comparison to 37% of monolingual English-speaking students. And 44% of English-speaking students had parents who either had a two-year or four-year postsecondary degree, compared to 22% of LDs. When we consider the above factors as barriers not only to college entrance but also to college graduation, it is possible to observe that the rate college completion is a challenge nationwide for the Linguistically Diverse student population. The authors in [9] found that students growing up in high-income families have the advantage of completing college within four years. Low-income peers have the burden of juggling employment, academic responsibilities, and survival, minus the guidance and support of college-educated parents, which places them at a disadvantage. In addition to rising college costs, the college environment can be an intimidating experience

for many LDs. Students from limited English-speaking backgrounds often need more developmental education intervention than their native English speakers. If LD students are to master college level materials, supplemental support and use of diverse teaching strategies are needed to make them successful.

1.1. Challenges with College Reading

Engle and Tinto in their study conducted by the Pell Institute [10] found that of the 4.5 million low-income, first-generation learners (many of whom are LDs) enrolled in secondary and postsecondary education today (approximately 24 percent of the undergraduate population), thus, their path to a bachelor's degree will be long, indirect, and uncertain. They further affirmed that first-generation and LDs face many challenges, which make it difficult for them to be successful in college. Moreover, most LDs disproportionately come from ethnic and racial minority backgrounds with limited academic preparation. The more risk factors a student has, the more likely the student will fail in his/her attempt to earn a bachelor's degree. ELLs or LD's typically face multiple challenges in the transition from home to school as most are from culturally diverse backgrounds. It is our belief that the academic experience should reaffirm the social, cultural, and historical experience of all students, but often results in cognitive dissonance for the LD student. Based on our interaction with the LD students and reading, academic language is often decontextualized, abstract, technical, and literary. Due to the nature of how college texts are written and structured, LD learners often struggle with making a connection between textbook language and the literacy skills taught in college classrooms. More often, the authors in [11] found that the college classroom reading expectations are sometimes not in alignment with the academic needs of the with the out-of-school literacies of the LD student [who is often] accustomed to little reading exposure either at home or at work. According to the authors in [5], literacy and critical reading development are formidable challenges in an academic setting for native English speakers; they are even more difficult for ELLs. Conversely, the authors in [12] postulate that most LDs enter the [college] classroom with a wealth of experience, knowledge and linguistic abilities that do have the potential to enrich their learning experience, educators should be aware of and learn to utilize.

According to the author in [13], capital is not only economic, but it is social and cultural. Society's structuring of differential distribution of cultural and social capital sometime ignores the needs of those they considers marginal. Cultural and social resources are motivating factors that increase an individual's or group chances of success. By implication, the more cultural and social capital one possesses, the more likely are the chances for success. For LD students' their inability to use language, which is required for upward mobility, limits the chance of success. For many, the chance of getting an education requires communicating and reading explicitly, and the challenge of inadequate mastery of the language, limits their chance of success. In addition, legal immigration status among other social ills can become hurdles, which affects their drive to complete college or read to attain success. Developmental reading is an area from which instructors can draw from students' prior knowledge, build literacy capacity and critical thinking skills. For LDs learner, the challenge for the instructor relies on providing multiple opportunities for reading and tailoring instruction that focuses on minimizing reading difficulties of interpreting a second language, but that which simultaneously strengthens reading comprehension. Most U.S colleges offer English language classes for LDs in some capacity, but instruction, which targets the deficit of the LDs students, remains undeveloped or targeted. Some offer language immersion

courses, while others focus more on an area, such as writing or reading. Typically, LDs not only take English language courses upon college admittance, while some are simultaneously enrolled in developmental reading courses. It is imperative instructors across various disciplines take into consideration the reading skills of all students, who may include the LDs, enrolled in their courses. Furthermore, they should seek to provide instruction that will assist the LDs students in building critical reading skills, but also prepare their students for college success. Reading courses are important for LDs, as they are the primary tool for all students' academic achievement. Moreover, the author in [14] postulates that reading courses. The purpose of reading courses at the college level should not be for students to simply decode words in a text, but also make connections to other texts inside and out the college classroom.

In college-level courses, the texts which many students read are expository and informational texts. However, many read with a primary intent and that it to memorize, some hope they can understand enough information to receive a passing grade in their course's exam. The authors in [15] postulate, *Deep reading comprehension* refers to the process of a students' ability to evaluate texts, integrate information from an array of texts, and use textual evidence to formulate a position. However, the authors in [16] found that readers exhibit different inference-making patterns, which influence what is remembered from a text, as a function of their purpose for reading. Unfortunately, a sizeable number of students do not effectively alter their cognitive processing to meet specific educational goals. At the college-level, reading requires students to make text-to-text, text-to-self, and text-to-world connections. These higher-order skills are necessary since the process of reading is scientific and analytic. Critical reading involves cognitive, psychological, and psychosocial functions. Integrating a variety of reading skills is essential if LD students are to improve their comprehension skills. Hence, college reading instruction should also be a reflective process as most of the texts read at the college level are informational. Information learned requires students to make connection, personal and otherwise. Rather than merely relying on college courses to fill in the missing gaps, we shift our focus on integrating reading strategies, which scaffold the LDs college entrant and assist them in developing critical reading skills academically and beyond.

Most of the texts that LD students encounter in college are written at a level beyond the middle and high school levels. The author in [17] contends that these informational texts require the use higher order reading strategies, and continuous instruction to support LD students entering college. For LD learners, developing critical skills are essential for their success and the informational texts they read while in college. Williamson further postulated that there is a readability continuum upon which texts become more complex in college compared to high school. If LD students are to become successful readers, they must learn reading strategies that will help them bridge they encounter in informational texts. LDs enrolled in high school should read more challenging and be exposed to a variety of texts so that they can handle the rigor of college reading. More importantly, colleges should provide adequate and more supportive reading courses for LD students who need to develop critical reading skills. For example, most science texts used by non-science majors are written at a reading level higher than that of the reading level of the average college student. If students are to prepare for college level reading, they need to read beyond their basic language skills. Students skim more, that is, read in a more shallow manner, when reading for class preparation than for exam preparation, according to the author in [18]. Students need to develop and expand their understanding of the meaning of reading critically and synthesizing

the information they read.

In the college developmental reading classroom, students' reading levels range from upper elementary school to high school. While the linguistic experiences and levels of students vary, the challenge for developmental reading instructors are to consider the learning needs for all students and to use differentiated reading strategies that include the needs of all students. In the instances when LDs demonstrate a need for support services, instructors should invite LDs to be a part of the reading process, rather than alienating or relying on a singular method of instruction. Instructors can help the LD student recognize that a textbook provides a larger social and educational context, which allows for multiple perspectives. This in turn, allows the LDs student to build a larger knowledge base. From this exposure, the learner can make connections between seemingly isolated texts, and thereby improving academic literacy. College instructors should anticipate that LDs are not widely read, although admittedly, this issue not limited to just LDs. Yet, the common assumptions held about LDs are that they are recent migrants to the US. One assumption that might hold true for this group is that most may not have had the opportunity to read, or at least, read text in a language other than their native language, which is not English.

At the college level, reading instruction compete with many unforeseen factors. In the developmental reading course, a typical class comprises of students, placed in the course because they received similar placement exam scores, but who may have a vastly different reading background. Some students, although they demonstrate fluency in the spoken dominant language, sometimes lack a strong foundation in basic reading skills; such as identifying the main ideas of a reading selection or locating supporting details in a passage. One may infer that preparation at the secondary level may be a contributing factor. In addition, other students in the developmental reading class are newly arrived immigrants from English speaking backgrounds who can read at the high school level, but are not proficient in academic reading. Many of these students struggle with reading college-level texts and often have difficulty comprehending long passages in various disciplines. Some newly arrived LD students speak a dominant language at home and sometimes are no fluent in reading or writing English. The task of developmental reading courses is not only in improving students' overall reading abilities, but also developing reading strategies that will assist them in being successful in both academic and professional spheres.

One of the many challenges of teaching reading using multiple texts is following the learning outcomes designed by colleges in developmental reading courses. The instructor is left to find ways to cultivate basic skills in reading, while providing opportunities for students to expand their background knowledge in academic texts. This limitation, posits several issues where the texts used are often at a higher reading level and in language, not familiar to the LD students' native tongue. In addition, when LD students face a barrier in reading at home, due to the sheer amount of unfamiliar vocabulary, they have difficulty in reading comprehension. Another problem that reading instructors face when teaching LD students is that some may not be knowledgeable about how to select texts with which their students can connect. This in turn, affects limited scope and effective teaching approaches that could enhance or motivate the LD student learning experiences. Another challenge is selecting appropriate texts, which meet the LD students' background. Instructors should recognize that texts in of themselves are not stand-alone materials. Using texts that does not relate to students' background, experiences, or culture may create undue stress during the learning process. This emphasizes that,

according to the author in [19], English language learners are almost immediately placed in a unique position because the acquisition of literacy and language are developing in two languages. Our aim is support this dilemma and offer methods that enhance learning.

1.3. Theoretical Framework

To help frame our inquiry, the authors drew on several models of cognitive processing theories. These theories drew on Smagorinsky's theoretical model, Spiro's cognitive-flexibility theory and Sternberg's triarchic theory of intelligence [20, 21, 22]. Within the learning environment, knowledge development requires active involvement from the learner as they engage in its acquisition. Instead of introducing the learner to a single text with a definite linear structure, we introduce the LD student to multiple texts with various structures, which requires the use of multiple perspectives. Our study assesses the development of critical reading comprehension using multiple short passages. This complex exposure to multiple sources of information eliminates the LD students' ability to use low-level information processing and instead requires higher-order thinking skills. Sternberg's triarchic theory of intelligence defines intelligence development occurs with a socio-cultural setting. In this regard, people interact with their surroundings based on their level of familiarity and intelligence. The triarchic theory is threefold: first, internal intelligence involves the individual's ability to process information using their metacognitive abilities. This helps him/her to solve problems reading and acquire knowledge in the process.

Secondly, external intelligence requires individuals to interact with real world constructs or experiences. This in turn, allows learners to adapt to their new environment or learning situation, if they cannot adapt, they find ways to either change the environment or learning experience. And finally, experiential intelligence involves the use of one's experiences to address new situations and solve novel problems. Intelligent learners retrieve data from their environment and apply information learned to new situations and cope with their new surroundings. Based on these cognitive theories, we believe that our students can read critically when given adequate support. This supports the theory put forth by the authors in [23] that instruction "designed-in" in the form of planned pedagogic tasks, or "contingent" in the form of spontaneous teacher-student and peer-peer interactions helps students develop confidence. In addition, the authors in [24] agree that ELLs "linguistic" and cultural knowledge in their native language should be taken as a stepping-stone to build the success. As such, as ELL students move through tasks in learning language programs, instructional strategies should vary based on students' ability and reading levels.

Within the above-mentioned framework, we created a contemporary view of reading multiple texts as proposed by theories Smagorinsky theoretical model of cognitive processing, using a quasi-experimental approach to study whether using multiple texts help LD students to develop critical reading skills. We also integrated Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL), which functioned as support program. This in turn allowed us as instructors to provide a variety of learning opportunities, and for LD students to recognize their learning potential, as stipulated in [24]. In addition, when students use their cognitive schemata to comprehend multiple sources of information, the author in [25] contends that they cannot ignore the source information. In fact, readers must use higher-order thinking skills to evaluate various sources of information. The author in [26] believes that instructional videos can assist students, as it assists in their ability to confront and challenge deficit-based beliefs about their learning. In this medium, technology serves as culturally responsive teaching method because it opens up opportunities for transformative learning to occur in the classroom and shifts to a culturally responsive mindset.

However, aids provided to help the learner manage the added complexity with processing information across various text structures. Central to the cultivation of cognitive flexibility, Smagorinsky posits, in sociocultural studies of literacy and meaning-making researchers [should] consider the following issues as central to understanding how people come to learn new knowledge and make new interpretations using the tools of language, written texts, the act of composing, and other symbols such as those used in the arts:

- The importance of speech in relation to learning
- The distinct semiotic potential of different tools and signs
- The distribution and negotiation of knowledge within social groups working on common tasks
- The ways in which literate practices occur and evolve outside traditional schooling and an appreciation of the complexity of such practices (20, pp. 4-5)

1.4. Methodology

Our study was a quasi-experimental, which used a pre-test and post-test design and consisted of 30 undergraduate students enrolled in RDL 500 reading course, at a large community college in New York City. We wanted to investigate whether incorporating the use of multiple texts improved their critical reading comprehension skills. We structured and selected instructional materials to which mirrored students cultural background experiences and allowed students to share in their native language [not English], these experiences. We subsequently paired each student, with a student who is more fluent in speaking the dominant language, English. Using practical reading strategies, such annotation, close reading, and outlining, students worked in groups and discuss selected readings, which mirrored their own cultural and current experiences during the semester. We grouped students using, "think-pair-share" where students read the passage by themselves, or read in pairs, and at an appropriate point, the students' partner will pose a question about the reading, think for a moment, and share their ideas.

For example, after reading chapter 1 of American Hunger, a story about Richard Wright's journey from innocence to experience in the Jim Crow South. Students also read various articles and excerpts of their favorite books, influential passages, engage in group discussions, use supporting research to present on a topic of their choice, which highlights their culture, and subsequently complete a pre-test and post-test at the end of the semester. On both tests, we provided participants with reading materials at the 8th-12th-grade reading level. Students completed a pre-test at the beginning of the study and the posttest at the end of the semester, which lasted for approximately 16 weeks, infused with three hours of instruction twice a week. Our study design assesses comprehension through the exposure to short reading passages, short-construction questions, eliminating the students' ability to guess, but instead develop their cognitive spheres for reasoning. We found providing opportunities for students to work in group helped in providing an atmosphere of collegiality among

students.

Further our design deliberately controlled for text and passage length, thus, eliminating exhaustion, while developing stamina, an issue commonly associated with comprehension. We found that limiting the passage or reading length, afforded the LD students with ease, as they processed reading materials easier, while simultaneously developing their critical reading skills.

1.5. Participants

The participants comprised of 30 students enrolled in RDL 500 in a Community College in New York (CCNY). According to CCNY's report, 65% of its student population are non-native English Language speakers, where LD students account for nearly 90% of the population. Demographically, 80% identified themselves as linguistically diverse, 15% of Asians, 25% African Americans, and 70% as other and consisted of 30 students. The gender distribution consists of 14 males and 16 females. With a few exceptions (5%), the participants were native-born United States citizens who spoke fluent English as their first language and had completed their secondary education in a New York City public school, 95% of the enrolled student population migrated from outside the United States. For placement purposes, all students admitted to CUNY must take the CUNY Assessment Placement Exam in Reading. The CUNY Assessment Placement Reading scores are used as indicators to determine students' critical reading proficiency. The test predicts students' reading and comprehension levels and measures whether students are ready to take on the rigor of college. In this regard, their scores exhibit the students' ability to analyze and synthesize complex reading passages; which is subsequently indicative of their preparation for college-level work. Students are scored categorically as follows: those who scored between 00-56 are placed in RD200; those who scored above 56 are placed in RD 500; and finally, those who scored 70 and above, are exempted from developmental reading courses. Based on student's reading placement scores, the developmental reading course functions as a scaffold, in that it helps the LD student in building and improving their deficient skills critical reading skills they will need in respective academic disciplines, see figure I below.

Test	Score	Placement	Course Placement
	00-56	ACR 94	RD200
Reading			
	57-69	ACR 95	RD500
	70 AND ABOVE		Exempt from Developmental Reading
			Courses

Figure: I: CUNY Reading Placement Scores

The author in [27] argues that students' placement into developmental education is determined based on a single factor, such as a brief, high-stakes standardized exam; this he claims can be problematic because research suggests such exams on their own do not reliably place students into the appropriate level of course-taking.

However, we disagree that after student's transcripts are evaluated, most college administer their own college assessment as another method of assessing whether students are college ready. Without this assessment mechanism in place, most colleges would not be able to identify whether their students are able to take on the rigor of college.

Cognizant that students are reading informational texts, additional exposure to reading, coerce the learner to interact socially with the author, and other learners in promoting vocabulary and comprehension development. From our perspective, the authors in [28] suggest that collaborative learning occurs when learners work with others to apply skills, strategies, and knowledge, and support each other in the process. Our fusion of interactive instructional approach allows for multimedia integration, adaptable to the LD students learning style. Hubbard believes that this input considers the experience and expectations of the learner and the teacher.

Our use of interactive technology created a space free from instructor's input; this in turn, allows the student to use his/her metacognitive thinking strategies while interacting socially with the text; and to solve problems independently. Selected readings materials allow the student ask self-generated questions, relate information gleaned from the reading materials and generate cause-and-effect questions about how ideas relate to another and self. Although digital tools are essential, they are not impartial elements during the learning experience, once situated in accordance to the students' learning experience and style; it aligns itself to goals of the instructor and the learner. In this context, the LD student critically assesses the effectiveness of the technology by relying on their innate cognitive abilities to process information across various multimedia platforms. The LD learner also learn to develop a critically awareness as they make new connections between multiple text forms, meanings, and ideologies. The authors in [29] affirmed, learners learn best when they have opportunities to observe, test their ideas with the knowledge, explore and evaluate ideas with their peers, and apply newly learned skills. These newly acquired [skills] are then transferred to real-world authentic contexts whether they are in or out of a classroom.

1.6. Instrumentation and Data Analysis

As a means of assessing students' prior reading skills, students were required to complete a pretest and a posttest on the following areas: main ideas, annotation, outlining, vocabulary, identifying arguments, differentiating facts from opinions, inferences, summary and comprehension. This was to ascertain whether participants were adapting to the instructional style and activities presented. Texts selection compromised at the beginning of the semester. Students work in groups so that they can share opinions, solve problems, and work to create a PowerPoint of a student selected reading presentation. Instructional materials such as excerpts of reading passages from the New York Times, articles *Brutalized Behind Bars, Questions on the Blake Assault, The Challenge to Legalizing Drugs*, Richard Wright's, *American Hunger*. Comprehension strategies were selected from simple to difficult, and the assigned tasks indicate how readers processed information, what textual cues they used, how they make sense of what they read, and what retrieval clues used to make meaning of information they do not understand, as well as personal connections. We integrated elements of visualization (mind mapping, graphic organizers) and reflection. These were key during classroom and reading instructional time and functioned as a means of boosting critical thinking skills, while keeping students engaged. In addition, after each reading excerpt selection and classroom discussions, inferential questions were asked, such as: How does Wright's description of hunger change as the autobiography progresses? Can an individual surrender to authority without really surrendering? And can an individual surrender to authority, explain?

Pre-questions addressed at first knowledge and relating to content. Using the K-W-L chart, we allowed students to read and focus their inquiry specifically integrating Bloom's Taxonomy order of questions: What (knowledge-based) to Why (inferential-critical thinking). See tables I and II for our reading excerpt questions and table III for the post assessment questions. In table IV, we used the K-W-L chart for students to monitor their learning. This chart functions as a metacognitive activity, in that it assists the adult ESL learner by supporting the reading and comprehension process.

Reading Excerp	Reading Excerpts				
Question Type	American Hunger	Brutalized Behind	The Challenge to	Questions about	
	(1977)	Bars in New York	Legalize Drugs	James Black	
		State		Assault	
			(author and year		
		(New York Times	unknown)	Sept, 16, 2015	
		Article) Sept 30, 2015			
Knowledge	What does the word	What behaviors does	What are some	What are your	
	"Hunger" means in	the writer highlights	reasons for taking	rights if you are	
	Wright's autobiography,	as abuse?	drugs?	stopped by the	
	American Hunger?			police?	
Inferential	Why do you think Wright	Explain the	Why is there a	Explain the term,	
	titled his book American	arbitration process	challenge to	"unprovoked	
	Hunger?	and cite what steps	legalize drugs and	aggression"	
		you would take to	should it be for		
		address these obvious	legal		
		weaknesses in the	consumption?		
		system.			

Table 1: Reading Excerpt Questions

The descriptive statistics presented in Table 4 depicts the results of LD students' performance scores using two articles in a compare and contrast activity: "Brutalized Behind Bars and the Question about James Blake Assault." These articles required students use cognitive processing skills and required analysis skills. Based on student's score performance in the final posttest results, demonstrates that the use of multiple texts improved their critical reading comprehension. As depicted above in Table IV, the results indicate that there was a difference between their pretest lower bound and lower bound posttest scores. Based on the minimum score of

65 and the maximum of 76 being the highest, the difference between pre and posttest scores improve by 11 point by the end of the semester in reading. When the mean for both the pretest and posttest are compared, the pretest mean data was 71.33, whereas posttest mean was 83.87 with a 95% Confidence Interval for the Mean. LD students pretest mean was 71.33, at the end of the semester, their posttest mean improved to 83.86. This difference demonstrates that using multiple texts yield more favorably for LD students. Hence, the analyses conducted on the pre-and post-tests of suggests exposing LD students multiple text which incorporated the explicit and culturally sensitive reading activities were found to be more effective than the use traditional reading instruction.

Table 2: Posttest Assessment Questions	
--	--

Assessment Questions				
Pretest:	1)	Write the main idea of the article?		
	2)	List three supporting details the author uses to support the main idea.		
	3)	What is the author's message in the article?		
	4)	What is the proposed solution the author suggests, if any?		
	5)	What prediction can you make about the book's title?		
Posttest:	1)	What stood out for you in this chapter or reading?		
	2)	Why do you think Wright titled his book American Hunger?		
Why do you think	3)	How does the theme of this book connect to other texts you have read?		
Wright titled his	4)	What background knowledge can you use to make an informed reason about the		
book American		author's stance on brutality? Explain your reasoning and cite evidence to		
Hunger?		support your answer.		
	5)	Write a summary of the author's main points and justify why you believe your		
		answer is correct.		

Table 3: K-W-L Chart

What do I know?	What do I want to Know?	What have I learned?		
Student Responses:	ident Responses: Why did the author title the book,			
	American Hunger?	meanings and it is a double		
The author is hungry.		entendre Prisoners have rights		
	People take drugs for many	and the system in corrupt.		
Abuse happens to prisoners	reasons			
		Being black in America is		
This an autobiography	Why is justice fair for all?	dangerous for American		
		Americans and Latinos.		

Comprehension Strategy	Number	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Std. Error Mean
Locate main ideas	30	71.333	2.656	.308
Inference	30	71.333	2.656	.308
Analyze	30	83.867	3.758	.377
Summarize	30	83.867	3.758	.377

Table 4: Summary of overlap between the uses of multiple texts and reading critical reading skills

As highlighted in Table V, most of the 30 students who was exposed to multiple texts improved their critical reading skills. We found that student's reading and comprehension skills after their exposure to multiple texts improved by 11 points. Most of their performance percentages were as high as 84%. We also found that certain comprehension strategies helped students in being able to locate the main idea of a reading excerpt, as well as, improvement in their ability to summarize and analyze during reading.

1.7 Pedagogical Implications and Recommendations

Teachers of the linguistic diverse students can benefit from the present study implementing multiple texts in building critical reading skills. In considering the learning styles and preferences of the LD learner, using various instructional mediums print, audio-visual, YouTube, Computer adaptive technology, functions as an influential component in developing the LDs students' comprehension and critical reading skills. In addition, instructors of reading can use short instructional videos as means of integrating a culturally responsive teaching. Through this medium, students can process information learned, while at the same time, developing the skills of "reflecting and meta-cognitively, "while transferring their experiential knowledge to their environment. Moreover, reading activities which require students to compare and contrast, read closely, and examine critically how information relate or differ from each other provides the development of metacognitive thinking. Research gleaned from Sternberg supports this theory that students use their internal, external and experiential intelligence to process information. This information processing allows students to cognitively process different sources of information, and in so doing, they develop knowledge and experience which ultimately transforms their thinking about the world around them. Through their interaction, students develop the ability to synthesize information, using various level of intelligence to process information until they arrive at a level of comprehension, satisfactory to them.

2. Conclusions

Results indicated in Table V that LD students improved in their critical reading after their exposure to multiple texts. Using multiple texts allows the LD student to using higher-order metacognitive skills, which enables them to comprehend learning outcomes. A majority of the students enrolled in the course with a minimum score of 65 percent, they subsequently increased their critical reading performance by over 11 points. The findings have certain implications for educators, in that the exposure to various genres and reading materials are excellent in

providing various perspectives rather than using a linear readings. Instructing via multiple texts, allows the LD leaner to use the skills of analysis and evaluation, as they use internal, external and experiential intelligence to process information in written formats. The authors in [30] agree that reading across multiple texts not only strengthens students' comprehension of each text through context building, but it also develops critical thinking. And although, many LDs students may initially have reading difficulty with reading and understanding texts, we found chunking reading materials into a condensed format allows them to build their reading stamina, while at the same time developing their comprehension skills. The exposure to various materials, infused with graphics and providing opportunities for engagement, also assists the LD student to complete complex tasks, such as processing unfamiliar vocabulary words, while decoding unfamiliar grammar and syntax.

Moreover, our assigned group activities, required students to work in pairs with another native speaker of the student's language and translate reading and written activities in both native and non-native language. This supports our acknowledgment and support of the student's cultural capital in the learning environment. We further added an embedded tutor to assist and found that students improved their critical reading and comprehension skills. Students' group activities and classroom presentations promoted active engagement, social interaction, while simultaneously developing information processing encourages engagement and empowers them to build their confidence in reading. The authors in [27] contend that through collaborative learning learners work with at least one other person, use targeted vocabulary and language structures, and use socio-culturally appropriate language this creates deep understanding of the topic. In sum, we found using multiple texts to inform instruction, and activities that accommodate students' various learning styles facilitated and created a better learning experience learner since we encouraged our students to draw words with pictures to improve their vocabulary. In addition, the use of the multiple texts approach can be described as an influential component on LDs comprehension and critical reading skills because when students are asked to compare and contrast, they are required to read closely and examine critically how information relate or differ from each other.

Furthermore, information gleaned from multiple sources requires students' internal, external and experiential intelligence to process information in written formats. This allows students to evaluate each respective source, as well as their personal knowledge and experience with the material with which they are interfacing. Connecting students to reading materials from their native backgrounds and using peer-peer in class group activities assisted the LD learner to build his/her social capacity within the learning environment. In fact, according to cultural capital theory, educational outcomes or educational attainment are strongly linked to sociocultural origins. We might be bold to infer that the success of the using multiple texts in our classrooms improved our students' critical reading skills since our students were free to use their native language interchangeably with what they learned in class activities. Adapting the above-mentioned strategies is simply a start to providing support and enables academic success for the many linguistically diverse students with whom we instruct.

References

[1] W. J. Hussar and T. M. Bailey. Projections of Education Statistics to 2021. NCES 2013-008. National

Center for Education Statistics, 2013.

- [2] U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. The condition of education 2015 (NCES 2015-144). Jessup, MD: National Center for Education Statistics, 2015.
- [3] J. P. Robinson-Cimpian, K. D. Thompson, I. M. Umansky. "Research and policy considerations for English learner equity." Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, vol. 3, pp. 129-137, 2016.
- [4] National Center for English Language Acquisition. (2011). "The Growing Number of Limited English Proficient Students 1998-96/2008-09." [On-line]. Available: http://www.ncela.gwu.edu/files/uploads/9/growingLEP_0809.pdf. [Mar. 8, 2017].
- [5] J. F. Samson and B. A. Collins. Preparing All Teachers to Meet the Needs of English Language Learners: Applying Research to Policy and Practice for Teacher Effectiveness. Center for American Progress, 2012.
- [6] E. Garc
 ´a and E. Weiss. Early Education Gaps by Social Class and Race Start US Children Out on Unequal Footing: A Summary of the Major Findings in" Inequalities at the Starting Gate". Economic Policy Institute, 2015.
- [7] L. M. Bedore and E. D. Pena. "Assessment of bilingual children for identification of language impairment: Current findings and implications for practice." International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, vol. 11, pp. 1-29. 2008.
- [8] S. Flores, J. Batalova and M. Fix. The educational trajectories of English language learners in Texas. Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute, 2012.
- [9] M. Bailey and S. Dynarski. (2012). "Gains and gaps: Changing inequality in U.S. college entry and completion." [On-line]. Available: http://www.nber.org/papers/w17633. [Mar. 8, 2017].
- [10] J. Engle and V. Tinto. "Moving Beyond Access: College Success for Low-Income, First-Generation Students." Pell Institute for the Study of Opportunity in Higher Education.
- [11] G. Hull and K. Schultz (eds.): School's Out: Bridging Out of School Literacies with Classroom Practice. Teachers College Press, New York, 2002.
- [12] L. Moll, C. Amanti, D. Neff and N. Gonzalez. "Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms." Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in households, communities, and classrooms, pp. 71-87, 2005.
- [13] P. Bourdieu. Cultural Reproduction and Social Reproduction, in J. Karabel and A. H. Halsey (eds) Power and Ideology in Education. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1977, pp. 487-511

- [14] J. Gee. Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses. Routledge, 2015.
- [15] M. LaRusso, H. Y. Kim, R. Selman, et al. "Contributions of Academic Language, Perspective Taking, and Complex Reasoning to Deep Reading Comprehension." Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, vol. 9, pp. 201-222, 2016.
- [16] P. van den Broek, R. F. Lorch, T. Linderholm and M. Gustafson. "The effects of readers' goals on inference generation and memory for texts." Memory and Cognition, vol. 29, pp. 1081-1087, 2001.
- [17] G. L. Williamson. "A text readability continuum for postsecondary readiness." Journal of Advanced Academics, vol. 19, pp. 602-632, 2008.
- [18] R. F. Lorch, E. P. Lorch, and M. A. Klusewitz. "College students' conditional knowledge about reading." Journal of Educational Psychology, vol. 85, pp. 239-252, 1993.
- [19] A. M. Dahl. "Transfer of Vocabulary Knowledge Across Languages in English Language Learners." BA, The Florida State University, Florida, 2016.
- [20] P. Smagorinsky. "If meaning is constructed, what's it made from? Toward a cultural theory of reading." Review of Educational Research, vol. 71, pp. 133-169, 2001.
- [21] R. J. Spiro. Cognitive Flexibility Theory: Advanced Knowledge Acquisition in Ill-

Structured Domains. Technical Report No. 441, 1988

- [22] R. J. Sternberg. Beyond IQ: A triarchic theory of human intelligence. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [23] J. Hammond and P. Gibbons. "Putting scaffolding to work: The contribution of scaffolding in articulating ESL education." Prospect, vol. 20, pp. 6–30, 2005.
- [24] C. Brown and A. Broemmel. "Deep scaffolding: enhancing the reading experiences of English language learners." The NERA Journal vol. 46, pp. 34-39. 2011.
- [25] J. F. Rouet. The skills of document use: From text comprehension to Web-based learning. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, 2006.
- [27] O. Schak, I. Metzger, J. Bass, C. McCann and J. English. Developmental education: challenges and strategies for reform. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development, 2017.
- [26] J. P. Fullam. "From Seeing to Believing: Using Instructional Video to Develop Culturally Responsive Teaching." Journal for Multicultural Education, vol. 11., pp. 2011.

- [28] D. Fisher and N. Frey. Better learning through structured teaching: A framework for the gradual release of responsibility framework (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD, 2014.
- [29] M. Grant, D. Lapp, D. Fisher, K. Johnson, and N. Frey. "Purposeful instruction: Mixing up the 'I,'
 'we,' and 'you'." Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, vol. 56, pp. 45-55. doi:10.1002/JAAL.00101, 2012.
- [30] T. Shanahan, D. Fisher, N. Frey. "The challenge of challenging text." Educational Leadership. vol. 69, pp. 58–62, 2012.

·